arXiv:1606.05716v1 [physics.comp-ph] 18 Jun 2016

Hamiltonian particle-in-cell methods for Vlasov-Maxwell equations

Yang He,[1,2] Yajuan Sun,[3] Hong Qin,[1,4] and Jian Liu[1,2]

[1]*School of Nuclear Science and Technology and Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China*

[2]*Key Laboratory of Geospace Environment, CAS, Hefei, Anhui 230026, CHINA*

[3]*LSEC, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P. O. Box 2719, Beijing 100190, CHINA*

[4]*Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08543, USA*

Abstract

In this paper, we develop Hamiltonian particle-in-cell methods for Vlasov-Maxwell equations by applying conforming finite element methods in space and splitting methods in time. For the spatial discretisation, the criteria for choosing finite element spaces are presented such that the semi-discrete system possesses a discrete non-canonical Poisson structure. We apply a Hamiltonian splitting method to the semi-discrete system in time, then the resulting algorithm is Poisson preserving and explicit. The conservative properties of the algorithm guarantee the efficient and accurate numerical simulation of the Vlasov-Maxwell equations over long-time.

I. INTRODUCTION

In modern plasma physics and accelerator physics, numerical simulation of the Vlasov-Maxwell (VM) equations is an indispensable tool for the study of the interactive dynamics of charged particles with electromagnetic fields. The system of dimensionless Vlasov-Maxwell equations regardless of the relativistic effects reads

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = 0, \tag{1a}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \int_{\Omega_v} f \mathbf{v} d\mathbf{v} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}, \tag{1b}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}, \tag{1c}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \int_{\Omega_v} f d\mathbf{v}, \tag{1d}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \tag{1e}$$

where $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, t)$ is the distribution function of position $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega_x \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and velocity $\mathbf{v} \in \Omega_v \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ at time t, and $(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t), \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, t)) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ are the electromagnetic fields. As the distribution function f is posed in a 6 dimensional phase space, the numerical computation is quite consuming and challenging for high dimensional problems. The presentation of particle-in-cell (PIC) methods [1, 2] greatly reduce the computation amount. In the PIC method, the Vlasov equation is solved by following particles' trajectories on Lagrangian grids, and the fields are approximated on Eulerian grids. It has been widely applied for decades. In most cases, the most concerned characteristics of the VM equations is the long term behaviours and multi-scale structures, then it is crucial to improve the stability and reliability of the PIC methods over long time. Conventionally, the Vlasov equation (1a) and Maxwell's equations (1b-1c) are solved by standard numerical methods, such as 4-th order Runge-Kutta methods. The local energy-momentum conservation laws can not be preserved, and after long time of computation the accumulation of the error leads to non-reliable results. To overcome this difficulty, we apply geometric integration methods to the coupled equations.

Geometric integration methods [3–6] are designed to conserve the intrinsic properties inherited by the original systems, including the Hamiltonian (symplectic and Poisson) structure, invariant phase space volume, etc. It has been confirmed that such methods show superior behavior for long term simulation [6]. Specifically, explicit symplectic and volume-

preserving algorithms are developed for solving single particle motions [7–15], numerical experiments exhibit that they can bound the error of energy and simulate the trajectory of the particle well over long time. For the Vlasov-Maxwell equations, geometric methods can be derived based on their different formulations. From the Lagrangian formulation based on the variational principle, variational symplectic algorithms of the VM system are derived [16–21]. On the other hand, the VM system is an infinite dimensional Hamiltonian system characterized by a Poisson bracket and a Hamiltonian functional [22, 23]. In Ref. 24, canonical symplectic methods are developed by discretising the canonical Poisson bracket directly. And a discrete non-canonical Poisson bracket is preserved [21] when the VM system is discretised by the method of discrete exterior calculus in space and a Hamiltonian splitting method in time [25].

In this paper, we further study Hamiltonian methods for the VM equations by combining the PIC technique with finite element methods (FEM). After approximating the distribution function f by Klimontovich representation, we discretise Maxwell's equations (1c) and (1b) by finite element methods. The choice of FEM serves the purpose of deriving a semi-discrete system equipped with a discrete structure. We will show that if the curl space of finite element approximation for the electric field is a subset of the space for the magnetic field, and the approximate magnetic field is divergence free, the semi-discrete system is Hamiltonian. Finite element methods such as the edge element method of Nédélec [26], or the elements from finite element exterior calculus [27], etc. can be applied to satisfy this sufficient condition for the existence of a discrete structure. The discrete structure is characterized by a discrete Poisson bracket consistent with the non-canonical Morrison-Marsden-Weinstein bracket [23]. The general expression of the discrete Poisson bracket and the discrete Hamiltonian is also given. For the semi-discrete system, we split the system into five parts, and fully discretise the system by combining the exact solutions of the subsystems. This technique is the Hamiltonian splitting method presented by us in Ref. 25. It is proved that the resulting numerical methods conserve the divergence-free property of the magnetic field, and are Hamiltonian with the discrete Poisson bracket preserved. Furthermore, the update mapping at each time step is explicit, and can be generalized to higher order easily.

The outline of this work is as follows. In the next section, a general formulation of the semi-discrete VM system is presented. The Vlasov equation is discretised to a particle system in use of the Klimontovich representation, and Maxwell's equations are discretised in the

framework of conforming finite element methods. In section 3, we present the discrete bracket and the discrete Hamiltonian of the semi-discrete system, and establish a general criteria for the elements to guarantee the bracket Poisson. In section 4, the Poisson-preserving temporal discretisation of the VM equations is given by using the Hamiltonian splitting.

II. SPATIAL DISCRETISATION FOR THE VLASOV-MAXWELL EQUATIONS

We start this section from the discretisation of the distribution function f. The discrete distribution function denoted by f_D is written in a sum of Dirac masses,

$$f_D(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v},t)=\sum_s f_s=\sum_s \omega_s\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{X}_s)\delta(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{V}_s), \tag{2}$$

where $(\mathbf{X}_s,\mathbf{V}_s)$ is the s-th particle's coordinate in phase space. Substituting Eq. (2) into the Vlasov-Maxwell equations (1a)-(1c) leads to the particle-Maxwell equations,

$$\dot{\mathbf{X}}_s=\mathbf{V}_s,\qquad \dot{\mathbf{V}}_s=\int_{\Omega_x}(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x},t)+\mathbf{V}_s\times\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x},t))\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{X}_s)d\mathbf{x}, \tag{3a}$$

$$\nabla\times\boldsymbol{B}=\sum_s \mathbf{V}_s\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{X}_s)+\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{E}}{\partial t}, \tag{3b}$$

$$\nabla\times\boldsymbol{E}=-\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t}. \tag{3c}$$

In practical computation, the Dirac delta function $\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{X}_s)$ in Eqs. (3a) and (3b) is usually replaced by a smooth function $S(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{X}_s)$ with property $\int_{\Omega_x} S(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{X}_s)d\mathbf{x}=1$. This can help to reduce the noise from numerical computation.

For the particle-Maxwell equations (3a)-(3c), we consider the problem with perfect conducting boundary conditions (PEC),

$$\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{E}=0 \ \text{ on } \partial\Omega_x,\quad \mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{B}=0 \ \text{ on } \partial\Omega_x,$$

where $\mathbf{n}$ is the unit normal vector of the boundary $\partial\Omega_x$ pointing out of the domain Ω_x. The initial conditions at time $t=0$ are

$$\begin{gathered}\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x},0)=\mathbf{E}_0(\mathbf{x}),\quad \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x},0)=\mathbf{B}_0(\mathbf{x}),\\ \text{with } \nabla\cdot\mathbf{E}_0(\mathbf{x})=\sum_s \mathbf{V}_s(0)\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{X}_s(0)),\quad \nabla\cdot\mathbf{B}_0(\mathbf{x})=0.\end{gathered} \tag{4}$$

Considering the properties of the solution and the boundary condition, we will solve field variables $\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}$ in the function spaces,

$$\mathcal{E} = \{\mathbf{E} \in H(\mathbf{curl}, \Omega_x) : \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega_x} = 0\},$$
$$\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{B} \in H(\mathbf{div}, \Omega_x) : \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega_x} = 0\},$$

where $H(\mathbf{curl}, \Omega_x) \equiv \{\mathbf{G} \in L^2(\Omega_x)^3 : \nabla \times \mathbf{G} \in L^2(\Omega_x)^3\}$, and $H(\mathbf{div}, \Omega_x) \equiv \{\mathbf{G} \in L^2(\Omega_x)^3 : \nabla \cdot \mathbf{G} \in L^2(\Omega_x)\}$. Multiplying Eq. (3b) and Eq. (3c) by test functions $\Phi \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{B}$ respectively, and integrating over Ω_x, we obtain the variational problem for the continuous Maxwell's equations,

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{\Phi}) &= (\mathbf{B}, \nabla \times \mathbf{\Phi}) - \left(\sum_s \mathbf{V}_s \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X}_s), \mathbf{\Phi} \right), \quad \forall \mathbf{\Phi} \in \mathcal{E}, \\ (\partial_t \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{\Psi}) &= -(\nabla \times \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{\Psi}), \quad \forall \mathbf{\Psi} \in \mathcal{B}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Here $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) = \int_{\Omega_x} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{g} d\mathbf{x}$ denotes the inner product of two vector functions $\mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{g}$.

Next we discretise the problem in Eq.(5) by conforming finite element methods. We firstly present a general matrix formulation of the discrete system, then choose the elements in the next section. Let $\mathcal{T}_h = \{K\}$ be regular partitions of the spatial domain Ω_x with K the Cartesian elements. Suppose that the field variables are approximated by the piecewise polynomials $\mathbf{E}_h$ and $\mathbf{B}_h$ in the finite element spaces $\mathcal{E}_h$ and $\mathcal{B}_h$ respectively. The approximate problem is then to find $(\mathbf{E}_h, \mathbf{B}_h) \in \mathcal{E}_h \times \mathcal{B}_h$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t \mathbf{E}_h, \mathbf{\Phi}) &= (\mathbf{B}_h, \nabla \times \mathbf{\Phi}) - \left(\sum_s \mathbf{V}_s \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X}_s), \mathbf{\Phi} \right), \quad \forall \mathbf{\Phi} \in \mathcal{E}_h, \\ (\partial_t \mathbf{B}_h, \mathbf{\Psi}) &= -(\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h, \mathbf{\Psi}), \quad \forall \mathbf{\Psi} \in \mathcal{B}_h. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Denoting $\{\mathbf{W}^e(\mathbf{x})\}_{j=1}^{N_e}$ the basis functions of $\mathcal{E}_h$ and $\{\mathbf{W}^b(\mathbf{x})\}_{j=1}^{N_b}$ the basis functions of $\mathcal{B}_h$, then

$$\mathbf{E}_h(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_e} E_j(t) \mathbf{W}_j^e(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{B}_h(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} B_j(t) \mathbf{W}_j^b(\mathbf{x}). \tag{7}$$

Substituting Eq. (7) into Eq. (6) and taking $\mathbf{\Phi} = \mathbf{W}_i^e$, $\mathbf{\Psi} = \mathbf{W}_i^b$ for every $i = 1...N_e(N_b)$ gives the discretisation of Maxwell's equations,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{N_e} \left(\mathbf{W}_j^e, \mathbf{W}_i^e \right) \partial_t E_j &= \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} \left(\mathbf{W}_j^b, \nabla \times \mathbf{W}_i^e \right) B_j - \sum_s \left(\mathbf{V}_s \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X}_s), \mathbf{W}_i^e \right), i = 1 \dots N_e \\ \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} \left(\mathbf{W}_j^b, \mathbf{W}_i^b \right) \partial_t B_j &= -\sum_{j=1}^{N_e} \left(\nabla \times \mathbf{W}_j^e, \mathbf{W}_i^b \right) E_j, i = 1 \dots N_b. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Similarly, by substituting Eq. (7) into Eq. (3a), we get the discrete particle equation,

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{X}}_s &= \mathbf{V}_s, \\
\dot{\mathbf{V}}_s &= \sum_j E_j \int_{\Omega_x} \mathbf{W}_j^e \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X}_s) d\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{V}_s \times \sum_j B_j \int_{\Omega_x} \mathbf{W}_j^b \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X}_s) d\mathbf{x}.
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

We rewrite Eq. (8) and Eq. (9) as,

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{X}}_s &= \mathbf{V}_s, \\
\dot{\mathbf{V}}_s &= \mathcal{W}_e^S(\mathbf{X}_s)\mathbf{E}_D + \mathbf{V}_s \times (\mathcal{W}_b^S(\mathbf{X}_s)\mathbf{B}_D), \\
\dot{\mathbf{E}}_D &= \mathcal{W}_e^{-1}\left(\mathcal{K}\mathbf{B}_D - \sum_s \omega_s \mathcal{W}_e^S(\mathbf{X}_s)\mathbf{V}_s\right), \\
\dot{\mathbf{B}}_D &= -\mathcal{W}_b^{-1}\mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{E}_D,
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $\mathbf{E}_D := [E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_{N_e}]^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathbf{B}_D := [B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{N_b}]^{\mathrm{T}}$ denote the values of the approximate fields. The other matrices are defined as follows:

- $\mathcal{W}_e$ is an $N_e \times N_e$ constant symmetric matrix with $(\mathcal{W}_e)_{ij} = \left(\mathbf{W}_i^e, \mathbf{W}_j^e\right)$;
- $\mathcal{W}_b$ is an $N_b \times N_b$ constant symmetric matrix with $(\mathcal{W}_b)_{ij} = \left(\mathbf{W}_i^b, \mathbf{W}_j^b\right)$;
- $\mathcal{W}_e^S(\mathbf{X}_s)$ is a $N_e \times 3$ matrix function with the j-th row being $\int_{\Omega_x} \left(\mathbf{W}_j^e\right)^\top \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X}_s) d\mathbf{x}$;
- $\mathcal{K}$ is an $N_e \times N_b$ constant matrix with $(\mathcal{K})_{ij} = \left(\nabla \times \mathbf{W}_i^e, \mathbf{W}_j^b\right)$.

The discrete form of equations (1d)-(1e) can also be given.

In the discretisation process, the setting of using conforming finite element methods requires that the spaces have $\mathcal{E}_h \subset \mathcal{E}$, and $\mathcal{B}_h \subset \mathcal{B}$. In addition, we need to choose the element in order that the semi-discrete system can possess a discrete Poisson structure. This is analyzed in the next section.

III. POISSON STRUCTURE OF THE SEMIDISCRETE VM SYSTEM

It is known that the continuous VM equations can be written in the Hamiltonian formulation by the Morrison-Marsden-Weinstein (MMW) bracket [23],

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial t} = \{\{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}\}\},$$

with $\mathcal{F}$ being any functional defined on $\mathcal{MV} = \left\{(f, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}) | \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \int f d^3\mathbf{v}\right\}$, and $\mathcal{H}$ being the global energy functional. The MMW bracket is Poisson and is preserved by the exact solution of the VM system. In this section, we establish the conditions which should be satisfied by the finite element basis aiming for the semi-discrete system to have the corresponding discrete Poisson structure.

Similar to the continuous system, we can also write the semi-discrete system (10) in the form

$$\dot{F} = \{F, H\}(\mathbf{X}_s, \mathbf{V}_s, \mathbf{E}_D, \mathbf{B}_D),$$

where F is any smooth function of the discrete variables. In this case, $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is the discrete bracket operator defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{F, G\}\left(\mathbf{X}_s, \mathbf{V}_s, \mathbf{E}_D, \mathbf{B}_D\right) \\
&= \sum_s \frac{1}{\omega_s}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{X}_s} \cdot \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s} - \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{X}_s} \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s}\right) \\
&+ \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{E}_D}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathcal{W}_e^{-1} \mathcal{K} \mathcal{W}_b^{-1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{B}_D} - \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{E}_D}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathcal{W}_e^{-1} \mathcal{K} \mathcal{W}_b^{-1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{B}_D} \\
&+ \sum_s \left(\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{E}_D}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathcal{W}_e^{-1} \mathcal{W}_e^S(\mathbf{X}_s) \frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s} - \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{E}_D}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathcal{W}_e^{-1} \mathcal{W}_e^S(\mathbf{X}_s) \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s}\right) \\
&+ \sum_s \frac{1}{\omega_s} \mathbf{B}_D^T \mathcal{W}_b^S(\mathbf{X}_s) \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s} \times \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

and H is the discrete Hamiltonian,

$$H(\mathbf{X}_s, \mathbf{V}_s, \mathbf{E}_D, \mathbf{B}_D) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \omega_s \mathbf{V}_s^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}_D^T \mathcal{W}_e \mathbf{E}_D + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{B}_D^T \mathcal{W}_b \mathbf{B}_D. \tag{12}$$

It is easy to verify that the discrete bracket in Eq. (11) and Hamiltonian in Eq. (12) are consistent with the continuous ones in Ref. 23, and the discrete energy H is an invariant of the semi-discrete system. The detailed derivation of the discrete bracket is shown in Appendix A.

Moreover, if the discrete bracket (11) is skew-symmetric and satisfies the Jacobi identity, it is Poisson and defines a Poisson structure[6]. This establishes a condition for the basis of the finite element spaces. We have the following lemma.

Lemma III.1. *The discrete bracket defined in Eq. (11) is Poisson if the basis functions*

$\mathbf{W}_i^e$, $\mathbf{W}_j^b$ *of the element spaces for* $\mathbf{E}$ *and* $\mathbf{B}$ *satisfy*

$$\int \left(\nabla \times \mathbf{W}_i^e - \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} \left(\mathcal{K}\mathcal{W}_b^{-1} \right)_{ij} \mathbf{W}_j^b \right) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X}_s) d\mathbf{x} = 0, \quad \forall i, s \tag{13a}$$

$$\int \nabla \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_b} B_j \mathbf{W}_j^b \right) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X}_s) d\mathbf{x} = 0, \tag{13b}$$

where $(\mathcal{W}_b)_{ij} = \left(\mathbf{W}_i^b, \mathbf{W}_j^b \right)$ *and* $(\mathcal{K})_{ij} = \left(\nabla \times \mathbf{W}_i^e, \mathbf{W}_j^b \right)$ *are matrices.*

The proof of the lemma is in Appendix B.

In the above lemma, it is observed that conditions (13a) and (13b) are consistent with Eqs. (1c) and (1e) respectively. Notice that if $\nabla \times W_i^e$ can be expressed as a linear combination of the basis W_j^b, i.e. if $\nabla \times \mathcal{E}_h \subset \mathcal{B}_h$, the condition (13a) holds naturally. We have the following results for the elements.

Theorem III.2. *If the space of elements* $\mathcal{E}_h$, $\mathcal{B}_h$ *for the field variables* $\mathbf{E}$ *and* $\mathbf{B}$ *satisfy*

$$\nabla \times \mathcal{E}_h \subset \mathcal{B}_h, \tag{14a}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_h = 0, \tag{14b}$$

then the semi-discrete system (10) is a Hamiltonian system with the Poisson bracket defined in Eq. (11).

In fact, for any fixed point $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega_x$, the discrete equation $\dot{\mathbf{B}}_D = -\mathcal{W}_b^{-1} \mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{E}_D$ in Eq. (10), together with the relation in Eq. (13a) and Eq. (7) implies that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{B}_h(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} \dot{B}_j \mathbf{W}_j^b(\mathbf{x}) = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h(\mathbf{x}).$$

It follows $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_h(\mathbf{x}, t) = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_h(\mathbf{x}, 0) = 0$ along the exact time evolution of B_j. By analyzing the conditions listed in Theorem III.2, we conclude that the semi-discrete system (10) can conserve the Poisson structure if the finite element spaces satisfy Eq. (14a) and the divergence of the initial magnetic field vanish. We now list some choices of elements that satisfy Eq. (14a) for cubical meshes $\mathcal{T}_h = \{K\}$:

- Raviart–Thomas–Nédélec's mixed elements[26]. The finite element spaces are

$$\mathcal{E}_h = \{ \mathbf{E}_h \in H(\mathrm{curl}, \Omega_{\mathrm{x}}), \mathbf{E}_h|_K \in Q_{k-1,k,k} \times Q_{k,k-1,k} \times Q_{k,k,k-1} \},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_h = \{ \mathbf{B}_h \in H(\mathrm{div}, \Omega_{\mathrm{x}}), \mathbf{B}_h|_K \in Q_{k,k-1,k-1} \times Q_{k-1,k,k-1} \times Q_{k-1,k-1,k} \},$$

where $Q_{l,m,n}$ denotes the space of polynomials in position variables (x_1, x_2, x_3), with the maximum degree being l for x_1, m for x_2 and n for x_3. The degrees of freedom are for K, and each edge and face of K (see Ref. 26 for more detail).

- The elements from finite element exterior calculus. The spaces are

$$\mathcal{E}_h = S_{k+1}\Lambda^1 = \{\mathbf{E}_h|_K = \mathbf{u} + (x_2x_3(w_2 - w_3), x_3x_1(w_3 - w_1), x_1x_2(w_1 - w_2)) + \nabla s\},$$
$$\mathcal{B}_h = S_k\Lambda^2 = \{\mathbf{B}_h|_K = \mathbf{v} + \nabla \times (x_2x_3(w_2 - w_3), x_3x_1(w_3 - w_1), x_1x_2(w_1 - w_2))\},$$

where $\mathbf{v}_i \in P_k(K)$, $\mathbf{u}_i \in P_{k+1}(K)$, and $w_i \in P_k(K)$ independent of $\mathbf{x}$. Here $P_k(K)$ denotes the space of polynomials with degree no higher than k. The degrees of freedom can refer to Ref. 27.

IV. TEMPORAL DISCRETISATION FOR THE VM EQUATIONS

With the appropriate finite element method presented in the above section, we can derive a semidiscretised system (10) with a non-canonical Poisson bracket. It should be stressed here that traditional time integrations generally cannot be applied directly to systems with non-canonical Poisson bracket in the purpose of preserving the structure. However, via investigating the discrete Hamiltonian in Eq. (12) it is noticed that our concerned system can be decomposed as a summation of solvable parts. This helps us to construct the Poisson-preserving methods by Hamiltonian splitting method presented in [25].

Firstly, we split the Hamiltonian in Eq. (12) as five parts,

$$H = H_E + H_B + H_1 + H_2 + H_3,$$

where $H_E = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{E}_D^T\mathcal{W}_e\mathbf{E}_D$, $H_B = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}_D^T\mathcal{W}_b\mathbf{B}_D$ and $H_i = \frac{1}{2}\sum_s V_s[i]^2$. Here, $V[i]$ denotes the i-th Cartesian component of the velocity $\mathbf{V}$. Each part of the Hamiltonian associates with a solvable subsystem. The subsystem associated with the Hamiltonian H_E is $\dot{F} = \{F, H_E\}$. It is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{X}}_s &= 0,\\
\dot{\mathbf{V}}_s &= \mathcal{W}_e^S(\mathbf{X}_s)\mathbf{E}_D,\\
\dot{\mathbf{E}}_D &= 0,\\
\dot{\mathbf{B}}_D &= -\mathcal{W}_b^{-1}\mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{E}_D.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

The exact update mapping of this subsystem with step size Δt is

$$\phi^E(\Delta t): \begin{aligned} &\mathbf{X}_s(t+\Delta t) = \mathbf{X}_s(t), \\ &\mathbf{V}_s(t+\Delta t) = \mathbf{V}_s(t) + \Delta t \left(\mathcal{W}_e^S(\mathbf{X}_s)\mathbf{E}_D\right), \\ &\mathbf{E}_D(t+\Delta t) = \mathbf{E}_D(t), \\ &\mathbf{B}_D(t+\Delta t) = \mathbf{B}_D(t) - \Delta t \left(\mathcal{W}_b^{-1}\mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{E}_D(t)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

The equation $\dot{F} = \{F, H_B\}$ associated with the Hamiltonian H_B is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &\dot{\mathbf{X}}_s = 0, \\ &\dot{\mathbf{V}}_s = 0, \\ &\dot{\mathbf{E}}_D = \mathcal{W}_e^{-1}\mathcal{K}\mathbf{B}_D, \\ &\dot{\mathbf{B}}_D = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The exact update of this subsystem with step size Δt reads

$$\phi^B(\Delta t): \begin{aligned} &\mathbf{X}_s(t+\Delta t) = \mathbf{X}_s(t), \\ &\mathbf{V}_s(t+\Delta t) = \mathbf{V}_s(t), \\ &\mathbf{E}_D(t+\Delta t) = \mathbf{E}_D(t) + \Delta t \left(\mathcal{W}_e^{-1}\mathcal{K}\mathbf{B}_D(t)\right), \\ &\mathbf{B}_D(t+\Delta t) = \mathbf{B}_D(t). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

For each $i = 1, 2, 3$, the equation $\dot{F} = \{F, H_i\}$ associated with the Hamiltonian H_i is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &\dot{\mathbf{X}}_s = V_s[i]\mathbf{e}_i, \\ &\dot{\mathbf{V}}_s = V_s[i]\mathbf{e}_i \times \left(\mathcal{W}_b^S(\mathbf{X}_s)\mathbf{B}_D\right), \\ &\dot{\mathbf{E}}_D = \mathcal{W}_e^{-1}\left(\sum_s \omega_s V_s[i]\mathcal{W}_e^S(\mathbf{X}_s)\mathbf{e}_i\right), \\ &\dot{\mathbf{B}}_D = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where $\mathbf{e}_i$ is the unit vector in the i-th Cartesian direction. It is easy to know the exact

update of this subsystem with step size Δt is

$$\phi^{v_i}(\Delta t):\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{X}_s(t+\Delta t)=\mathbf{X}_s(t)+V_s[i](t)\mathbf{e}_i,\\
&\mathbf{V}_s(t+\Delta t)=\mathbf{V}_s(t)+\mathbf{e}_i\times\left(\mathcal{W}_b^S(\mathbf{X}_s)\mathbf{B}_D\right),\\
&\mathbf{E}_D(t+\Delta t)=\mathbf{E}_D(t)-\mathcal{W}_e^{-1}\left(\sum_s V_s[i]\omega_s F_J(\mathbf{X}_s,\Delta t)\mathbf{e}_i\right),\\
&\mathbf{B}_D(t+\Delta t)=\mathbf{B}_D(t).\\
&F(\mathbf{X}_s,\Delta t)=\int_{X_s[i](t)}^{X_s[i](t+\Delta t)}\mathcal{W}_e^S(\mathbf{X}_s)dX_s[i].
\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

These exact solutions can be composed to get integrators for the semi-discrete system (10). For example, a first order method can be constructed by

$$\Phi(\Delta t)=\phi^E(\Delta t)\circ\phi^B(\Delta t)\circ\phi^{v1}(\Delta t)\circ\phi^{v2}(\Delta t)\circ\phi^{v3}(\Delta t),$$

and a second order symmetric method can be derived from

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(\Delta t)=&\phi^E(\Delta t/2)\circ\phi^B(\Delta t/2)\circ\phi^{v1}(\Delta t/2)\circ\phi^{v2}(\Delta t/2)\circ\phi^{v3}(\Delta t)\\
&\circ\phi^{v2}(\Delta t/2)\circ\phi^{v1}(\Delta t/2)\circ\phi^B(\Delta t/2)\circ\phi^E(\Delta t/2).
\end{aligned}$$

Higher order methods can be constructed by various ways of compositions[28]. From the above expressing, it is known that the kind of methods can be computed explicitly, hence are easy to be implemented.

It can be verified that all the subsystems satisfy

$$\nabla\cdot\left(\sum_j B_j(t)\mathbf{W}_j^b\right)=\nabla\cdot\left(\sum_j B_j(0)\mathbf{W}_j^b\right),$$

and share the same bracket as the system (10). According to the theory of Lie groups[29], if the initial conditions are chosen as in Eq. (4), the numerical magnetic fields are divergence-free, and the discrete non-canonical Poisson structure is preserved by the methods.

V. CONCLUSION

Based on the Poisson bracket, we have developed Hamiltonian Particle-in-cell methods for Vlasov-Maxwell equations by combining the PIC technique with conforming finite element methods in space. In order that the semi-discrete system conserves the discrete Poisson

structure, the finite element space needs to satisfy $\nabla \times \mathcal{E}_h \subset \mathcal{B}_h$, and $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_h = 0$ with $\mathcal{E}_h$ and $\mathcal{B}_h$ the element spaces for the electric field and the magnetic field, respectively. Then finite elements for Maxwell's equations such as Raviart–Thomas–Nédélec's mixed elements can be applied. The numerical methods which can preserve the discrete Poisson bracket are constructed for the semi-discrete system by a Hamiltonian splitting method. We have given a general procedure of constructing Hamiltonian methods for the VM equations by FEM. Numerical applications and error and stability analysis of the methods will be reported in future publications.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by ITER-China Program (2015GB111003, 2014GB124005, and 2013GB111000), JSPS-NRF-NSFC A3 Foresight Program in the field of Plasma Physics (NSFC-11261140328), the National Science Foundation of China (11271357, 11575186, 11575185, 11505185, and 11505186), the CAS Program for Interdisciplinary Collaboration Team, the Foundation for Innovative Research Groups of the NNSFC (11321061), the Geo-Algorithmic Plasma Simulator (GAPS) Project, and the U.S. Department of Energy (DEAC02-09CH11466).

Appendix A: Derivation of the discrete Poisson bracket

It is known that the continuous VM equations is Hamiltonian characterized by the MMW Poisson bracket[22, 23],

$$\begin{aligned}\{\{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}\}\}(f,\mathbf{E},\mathbf{B}) = &\int f\left\{\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta f},\frac{\delta\mathcal{G}}{\delta f}\right\}_{\mathbf{xv}} d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{v}\\ &+\int\left[\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta\mathbf{E}}\cdot\left(\nabla\times\frac{\delta\mathcal{G}}{\delta\mathbf{B}}\right)-\frac{\delta\mathcal{G}}{\delta\mathbf{E}}\cdot\left(\nabla\times\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta\mathbf{B}}\right)\right]d\mathbf{x}\\ &+\int\left(\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta\mathbf{E}}\cdot\frac{\partial f}{\partial\mathbf{v}}\frac{\delta\mathcal{G}}{\delta f}-\frac{\delta\mathcal{G}}{\delta\mathbf{E}}\cdot\frac{\partial f}{\partial\mathbf{v}}\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta f}\right)d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{v}\\ &+\int f\mathbf{B}\cdot\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{v}}\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta f}\times\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{v}}\frac{\delta\mathcal{G}}{\delta f}\right)d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{v},\end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

and the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}(f,\mathbf{E},\mathbf{B}) = \frac{1}{2}\int\mathbf{v}^2 f d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{2}\int\left(\mathbf{E}^2+\mathbf{B}^2\right)d\mathbf{x}.$$

Here the operator $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{\mathbf{xv}}$ denotes the canonical Poisson bracket for functions of $(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v})$. During spatial dicretisation, the variable f is approximated by Eq. (2), and $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are approximated by Eq. (7). The Poisson bracket can be discretised in the same way. Firstly we deal with the dicretisation of the variation, by the approximate expression for the variables, and the chain rule of variations. From Eq. (2), the discrete variables can be reexpressed by

$$\omega_s = \int f_s d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{X}_s = \frac{1}{\omega_s}\int \mathbf{x} f_s d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{V}_s = \frac{1}{\omega_s}\int \mathbf{v} f_s d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{v}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\delta\omega_s}{\delta f_s} = 1, \quad \frac{\delta\mathbf{X}_s}{\delta f_s} = \frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{X}_s}{\omega_s}, \quad \frac{\delta\mathbf{V}_s}{\delta f_s} = \frac{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{V}_s}{\omega_s}.$$

Using chain rule of variations leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta}{\delta f_s} &= \frac{\delta\omega_s}{\delta f_s}\frac{\partial}{\partial\omega_s} + \frac{\delta\mathbf{X}_s}{\delta f_s}\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{X}_s} + \frac{\delta\mathbf{V}_s}{\delta f_s}\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{V}_s} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\omega_s} + \frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{X}_s}{\omega_s}\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{X}_s} + \frac{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{V}_s}{\omega_s}\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{V}_s}.\end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

To be short, in the following we replace the notation $\mathbf{E}$ as for $\mathbf{E}_h$. From the discretisation for the fields in Eq. (7),

$$\left(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{W}_j^e\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_e} E_i \left(\mathbf{W}_i^e, \mathbf{W}_j^e\right).$$

Denote $(\mathcal{W}_e)_{ij} = \left(\mathbf{W}_i^e, \mathbf{W}_j^e\right)$, then taking the variation of $E_i(t)$ w.r.t. $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x},t)$ gives

$$\frac{\delta E_i}{\delta\mathbf{E}} = \sum_j (\mathcal{W}_e^{-1})_{ij}\mathbf{W}_j^e, \quad i = 1\ldots N_e.$$

It follows from the chain rule of variation that

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta\mathbf{E}} = \sum_i \frac{\delta E_i}{\delta\mathbf{E}}\frac{\partial}{\partial E_i} = \sum_{i,j}\frac{\partial}{\partial E_i}(\mathcal{W}_e^{-1})_{ij}\mathbf{W}_j^e. \tag{A3}$$

As follows, we apply the above functional derivative to derive the discrete bracket corresponding to Eq. (A1).

Consider the first term in Eq. (A1). Substituting Eq. (A2) in the canonical bracket gives

$$\begin{aligned}\left\{\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta f_s}, \frac{\delta\mathcal{G}}{\delta f_s}\right\}_{\mathbf{xv}} &= \left\{\frac{\partial F}{\partial\omega_s} + \frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{X}_s}{\omega_s}\frac{\partial F}{\partial\mathbf{X}_s} + \frac{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{V}_s}{\omega_s}\frac{\partial F}{\partial\mathbf{V}_s}, \frac{\partial G}{\partial\omega_s} + \frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{X}_s}{\omega_s}\frac{\partial G}{\partial\mathbf{X}_s} + \frac{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{V}_s}{\omega_s}\frac{\partial G}{\partial\mathbf{V}_s}\right\}_{\mathbf{xv}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_s^2}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial\mathbf{X}_s}\cdot\frac{\partial G}{\partial\mathbf{V}_s} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial\mathbf{V}_s}\cdot\frac{\partial G}{\partial\mathbf{X}_s}\right),\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\sum_s \int f_s \left\{ \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta f_s}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta f_s} \right\}_{\mathbf{xv}} d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{v} = \sum_s \frac{1}{\omega_s} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{X}_s} \cdot \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s} \cdot \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{X}_s} \right). \tag{T1}$$

Next we consider the second term of Eq. (A1). Using Eq. (A3) and the fact that $\mathcal{W}_b^{-1}$ is symmetric, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \nabla \times \left(\frac{\delta E_i}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \right) \cdot \frac{\delta B_j}{\delta \mathbf{B}} d\mathbf{x} &= \sum_{m,l} (\mathcal{W}_e^{-1})_{im} \int \nabla \times \mathbf{W}_m^e \cdot \mathbf{W}_l^b d\mathbf{x} (\mathcal{W}_b^{-1})_{jl} \\ &= \sum_{l,m} (\mathcal{W}_e^{-1})_{im} \mathcal{K}_{ml} (\mathcal{W}_b^{-1})_{lj}, \end{aligned}$$

where the notation $\mathcal{K}_{ml} = \int \nabla \times \mathbf{W}_m^e \cdot \mathbf{W}_l^b d\mathbf{x}$. So in the second term there is

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \left(\nabla \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \right) d\mathbf{x} &= \int \left(\nabla \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \right) \cdot \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta E_i} \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta B_j} \int \nabla \times \left(\frac{\delta E_i}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \right) \cdot \frac{\delta B_j}{\delta \mathbf{B}} d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{E}_D} \right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathcal{W}_e^{-1} \mathcal{K} \mathcal{W}_b^{-1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{B}_D}. \end{aligned} \tag{T2}$$

When the third term in Eq. (A1) is considered, with the boundary condition $f|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, we can use the integration by part and to calculate the following term

$$\int f_s \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta f_s} - \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta f_s} \right) d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{v}.$$

It is noticed by using Eq. (A2) that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta f_s} = \frac{1}{\omega_s} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s}.$$

Then substitute the above relation and Eq. (A3) in the third term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int f_s \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta f_s} \right) d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{v} &= \frac{1}{\omega_s} \int f_s \left(\sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial F}{\partial E_i} \left(\mathcal{W}_e^{-1} \right)_{ij} \mathbf{W}_j^e(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s} \right) d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{v} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial F}{\partial E_i} \left(\mathcal{W}_e^{-1} \right)_{ij} \left(\int \mathbf{W}_j^e(\mathbf{x}) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X}_s) d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{v} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s} \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{E}_D} \right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathcal{W}_e^{-1} \mathcal{W}_e^S(\mathbf{X}_s) \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s}, \end{aligned} \tag{T3}$$

where $\mathcal{W}_e^S(\mathbf{X}_s)$ is the $N_e \times 3$ matrix function with the j-th row being $\int_{\Omega_x} \left(\mathbf{W}_j^e \right)^\top \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X}_s) d\mathbf{x}$.

For the fourth term of Eq. (A1), the substitution of the expressions in Eqs. (7), (A2), and (A3) derives

$$\begin{aligned}\int f_s \mathbf{B}\cdot\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}}\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta f_s}\times\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}}\frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta f_s}\right)d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{v} &= \int f_s \sum_j B_j \mathbf{W}_j^b(\mathbf{x})\cdot\left(\frac{1}{\omega_s^2}\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s}\times\frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s}\right)d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{v}\\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_s}\sum_j B_j \int \mathbf{W}_j^b(\mathbf{x})\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{X}_s)d\mathbf{x}\cdot\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s}\times\frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s}\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_s}\mathbf{B}_D^T\mathcal{W}_b^S(\mathbf{X}_s)\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s}\times\frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{T4}$$

where $\mathcal{W}_b^S(\mathbf{X}_s)$ is a $N_b\times 3$ matrix function with the j-th row being $\int_{\Omega_x}\left(\mathbf{W}_j^b\right)^\top\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{X}_s)d\mathbf{x}$. The summation of the terms (T1)–(T4) forms the discrete Poisson bracket in Eq. (11).

Appendix B: Proof of Lemma.III.1

A bracket operator is Poisson if it is bilinear, skew-symmetric ($\{F,G\}=-\{G,F\}$), and satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$\{\{F,G\},H\}+\{\{G,H\},F\}+\{\{H,F\},G\}=0.$$

The skew-symmetry of the bracket defined in Eq. (11) is easy to be verified. Next we prove the Jacobi-identity of the bracket.

Recall that any finite dimensional Poisson bracket can be represented and characterized by a matrix. We rewrite the bracket in Eq. (11) as four parts,

$$\{F,G\}=\{F,G\}_{xv}+\{F,G\}_{EB}+\{F,G\}_{Ev}+\{F,G\}_B,$$

each part is in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\{F,G\}_{xv} &= \sum_s\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial(\mathbf{X}_s,\mathbf{V}_s)}\right)^T\mathcal{J}\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial(\mathbf{X}_s,\mathbf{V}_s)}\right),\\ \{F,G\}_{EB} &= \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial(\mathbf{E}_D,\mathbf{B}_D)}\right)^T\mathcal{L}_{EB}\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial(\mathbf{E}_D,\mathbf{B}_D)}\right),\\ \{F,G\}_{Ev} &= \sum_s\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial(\mathbf{V}_s,\mathbf{E}_D)}\right)^T\mathcal{L}_{Ev}(\mathbf{X}_s)\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial(\mathbf{V}_s,\mathbf{E}_D)}\right),\\ \{F,G\}_B &= \sum_s\frac{1}{\omega_s}\mathbf{B}_D^T\mathcal{W}_b^S(\mathbf{X}_s)\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s}\times\frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s}\right),\end{aligned}$$

with the matrices being

$$\mathcal{J} = \frac{1}{\omega_s}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_3 \\ -I_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{B1a}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{EB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{W}_e^{-1}\mathcal{K}\mathcal{W}_b^{-1} \\ -\mathcal{W}_b^{-1}\mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathcal{W}_e^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{B1b}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{Ev}(\mathbf{X}_s) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{W}_e^{S}(\mathbf{X}_s)^T\mathcal{W}_e^{-1} \\ -\mathcal{W}_e^{-1}\mathcal{W}_e^{S}(\mathbf{X}_s) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{B1c}$$

The properties of the matrices determines those of the bracket. Before complete the proof, we need the following lemma derived from ChapterVII,[6].

Lemma B.1. *The Poisson bracket (11) satisfies the Jacobi-identity for all functions F, G, K if and only if it satisfies the identity for the coordinate functions X_s, V_s, E_j, B_j.*

According to Lemma. B.1, in $\{\{F,G\},H\}$ one need only to consider the explicit dependence of the matrices on the variables when taking the derivatives of $\{F,G\}$. Following the similar idea in Ref. 30, the Jacobi identity reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\{F,G\},H\} + cyc = &\underbrace{\{\{F,G\}_{xv},H\}_{xv}}_{1} + \underbrace{\{\{F,G\}_{xv},H\}_{B}}_{2} \\
&+ \underbrace{\{\{F,G\}_{xv},H\}_{Ev}}_{3} + \underbrace{\{\{F,G\}_{xv},H\}_{EB}}_{4} \\
&+ \underbrace{\{\{F,G\}_{B},H\}_{xv}}_{5} + \underbrace{\{\{F,G\}_{B},H\}_{B}}_{6} \\
&+ \underbrace{\{\{F,G\}_{B},H\}_{Ev}}_{7} + \underbrace{\{\{F,G\}_{B},H\}_{EB}}_{8} \\
&+ \underbrace{\{\{F,G\}_{Ev},H\}_{xv}}_{9} + \underbrace{\{\{F,G\}_{Ev},H\}_{B}}_{10} \\
&+ \underbrace{\{\{F,G\}_{Ev},H\}_{Ev}}_{11} + \underbrace{\{\{F,G\}_{Ev},H\}_{EB}}_{12} \\
&+ \underbrace{\{\{F,G\}_{EB},H\}_{xv}}_{13} + \underbrace{\{\{F,G\}_{EB},H\}_{B}}_{14} \\
&+ \underbrace{\{\{F,G\}_{EB},H\}_{Ev}}_{15} + \underbrace{\{\{F,G\}_{EB},H\}_{EB}}_{16} + cyc,
\end{aligned}$$

where the symbol 'cyc' means cyclic permutation. By Lemma. B.1, apparently we have the following results:

- Term 1-4 vanishes as $\mathcal{J}$ in $\{F,G\}_{xv}$ is constant.
- Term 6-7 vanishes as $\mathcal{W}_b^S(X_s)$ in $\{F,G\}_B$ is independent of E_J and V_s.
- Term 10-12 vanishes as $\mathcal{L}_{Ev}$ in $\{F,G\}_{Ev}$ is independent of E_J, B_J and V_s.
- Term 13-16 vanishes as $\mathcal{L}_{EB}$ in $\{F,G\}_{EB}$ is constant.

Therefore, only the terms 5, 8 and 9 need to be analyzed.

Term 5 reads

$$\begin{aligned}\{\{F,G\}_B,H\}_{xv}+cyc&=\sum_s\frac{1}{\omega_s}\frac{\partial\{F,G\}_B}{\partial X_s}\cdot\frac{\partial H}{\partial V_s}+cyc\\&=\sum_{s=1}^{N}\frac{1}{\omega_s^2}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\mathbf{B}_D^T\partial_{X_s^i}\mathcal{W}_b^S(\mathbf{X}_s)\right)\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial\mathbf{V}_s}\times\frac{\partial G}{\partial\mathbf{V}_s}\right)\frac{\partial H}{\partial V_s^i}+cyc\\&=\sum_{s=1}^{N}\frac{1}{\omega_s^2}\nabla_{X_s}\cdot\left(\mathbf{B}_D^T\mathcal{W}_b^S(\mathbf{X}_s)\right)\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial\mathbf{V}_s}\times\frac{\partial G}{\partial\mathbf{V}_s}\right)\cdot\frac{\partial H}{\partial V_s},\end{aligned}\tag{B2}$$

by the property of cross production, $(a\times b)_i\,c_j+cyc=\delta_{ij}(a\times b)\cdot c$. The above Jacobian relation vanishes for

$$\nabla_{X_s}\cdot\left(\mathbf{B}_D^T\mathcal{W}_b^S(\mathbf{X}_s)\right)=0.$$

Applying the integration by parts to the above equality provides

$$\begin{aligned}0=\nabla_{X_s}\cdot\left(\mathbf{B}_D^T\mathcal{W}_b^S(\mathbf{X}_s)\right)&=\sum_{j=1}^{N_b}B_j\nabla_{X_s}\cdot\int\mathbf{W}_j^b\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{X_s})d\mathbf{x}\\&=\sum_{j=1}^{N_b}B_j\int\nabla\cdot\mathbf{W}_j^b\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{X_s})d\mathbf{x}.\end{aligned}$$

Now, we consider the terms 8 and 9. Term 9 is

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\{F,G\}_{Ev},H\}_{xv}+cyc\\=&\sum_s\frac{1}{\omega_s}\frac{\partial\{F,G\}_{Ev}}{\partial X_s}\cdot\frac{\partial H}{\partial V_s}+cyc\\=&\sum_s\frac{1}{\omega_s}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left[\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial\mathbf{E}_D}\right)^T\mathcal{W}_e^{-1}\partial_{X_s^i}\mathcal{W}_e^S\frac{\partial F}{\partial\mathbf{V}_s}\right]\frac{\partial H}{\partial V_s^i}-\left[\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial\mathbf{E}_D}\right)^T\mathcal{W}_e^{-1}\partial_{X_s^i}\mathcal{W}_e^S\frac{\partial G}{\partial\mathbf{V}_s}\right]\frac{\partial H}{\partial V_s^i}+cyc\\=&\sum_s\frac{1}{\omega_s}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial\mathbf{E}_D}\right)^T\mathcal{W}_e^{-1}M(\mathbf{X}_s)\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial\mathbf{V}_s}\times\frac{\partial G}{\partial\mathbf{V}_s}\right)+cyc,\end{aligned}\tag{B3}$$

where $M(\mathbf{X}_s)$ denotes the $N_e \times 3$ dimensional matrix with the j-th row being $\int(\nabla\times\mathbf{W}_i^e)\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{X}_s)d\mathbf{x}$. The final equality is derived by summarizing the permuted terms, and taking the integration by parts

$$\nabla_{X_s} \times \int \mathbf{W}_i^e(\mathbf{x})\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{X}_s)d\mathbf{x} = \int (\nabla \times \mathbf{W}_i^e)^\top \delta d\mathbf{x}, \quad \forall i, s.$$

Term 8 can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\{\{F,G\}_B, H\}_{EB} + cyc &= -\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{E}_D}\right)^T \mathcal{W}_e^{-1}\mathcal{K}\mathcal{W}_b^{-1}\frac{\partial\{F,G\}_B}{\partial \mathbf{B}_D} + cyc \\ &= -\sum_s \frac{1}{\omega_s}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{E}_D}\right)^T \mathcal{W}_e^{-1}\mathcal{K}\mathcal{W}_b^{-1}\mathcal{W}_b^S(\mathbf{X}_s)\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s}\times\frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s}\right) + cyc.\end{aligned} \tag{B4}$$

Thus the summation of Term 8 in Eq. (B4) and Term 9 in Eq. (B3) gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\{F,G\}_{Ev}, H\}_{xv} + \{\{F,G\}_B, H\}_{EB} + cyc \\ =&\sum_s \frac{1}{\omega_s}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{E}}\right)^T \mathcal{W}_e^{-1}\left(M(\mathbf{X}_s) - \mathcal{K}\mathcal{W}_b^{-1}\mathcal{W}_b^S\right)\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s}\times\frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{V}_s}\right) + cyc.\end{aligned}$$

It vanishes when $M(\mathbf{X}_s) = \mathcal{K}\mathcal{W}_b^{-1}\mathcal{W}_b^S$, which can be simplified as

$$\int (\nabla \times \mathbf{W}_i^e)^\top \delta d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{j=1}^{Nb}\left(\mathcal{K}\mathcal{W}_b^{-1}\right)_{ij}\int (\mathbf{W}_j^b)^\top \delta d\mathbf{x}, \quad \forall i, s.$$

Overall, considering the conditions in term 5 and term 8+9 respectively, the discrete bracket is Poisson if the following two conditions holds,

$$\int (\nabla \times \mathbf{W}_i^e)\delta d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{j=1}^{Nb}\left(\mathcal{K}\mathcal{W}_b^{-1}\right)_{ij}\int (\mathbf{W}_j^b)\delta d\mathbf{x}, \quad \forall i, s \tag{B5a}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_b} B_j \int \nabla \cdot \mathbf{W}_j^b \delta d\mathbf{x} = 0. \tag{B5b}$$

---

[1] R. W. Hockney and J. W. Eastwood, *Computer Simulation Using Particles* (Institute of Physics Publishing, Bristol, 1988).

[2] C. K. Birdsall and A. B. Langdon, *Plasma Physics via Computer Simulation* (Adam Hilger, Bristol).

[3] R. D. Ruth, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci **30**, 2669 (1983).

[4] K. Feng, in *the Proceedings of 1984 Beijing Symposium on Differential Geometry and Differential Equations*, edited by K. Feng (Science Press, 1985) pp. 42–58.

[5] K. Feng and M. Qin, *Symplectic Geometric Algorithms for Hamiltonian Systems* (Springer-Verlag, 2010).

[6] E. Hairer, C. Lubich, and G. Wanner, *Geometric Numerical Integration: Structure-Preserving Algorithms for Ordinary Differential Equations* (Springer, New York, 2003).

[7] H. Qin and X. Guan, Physical Review Letters **100**, 035006 (2008).

[8] H. Qin, X. Guan, and W. M. Tang, Physics of Plasmas **16**, 042510 (2009).

[9] S. A. Chin, Phy. Rev. E **77**, 066401 (2008).

[10] H. Qin, S. Zhang, J. Xiao, J. Liu, Y. Sun, and W. M. Tang, Physics of Plasmas **20**, 084503 (2013).

[11] Y. He, Y. Sun, J. Liu, and H. Qin, Journal of Computational Physics **281**, 135 (2015).

[12] Y. He, Y. Sun, J. Liu, and H. Qin, Journal of Computational Physics **305**, 172 (2016).

[13] R. Zhang, J. Liu, Y. Tang, H. Qin, J. Xiao, and B. Zhu, Physics of Plasmas **21**, 032504 (2014).

[14] R. Zhang, J. Liu, H. Qin, Y. Wang, Y. He, and Y. Sun, Physics of Plasmas , Submitted (2015).

[15] Y. He, Y. Sun, Z. Zhou, J. Liu, and H. Qin, arXiv:1509.07794 (2015).

[16] J. Squire, H. Qin, and W. M. Tang, Physics of Plasmas **19**, 084501 (2012).

[17] E. Evstatiev and B. Shadwick, J. Comput. Phys. **245**, 376 (2013).

[18] M. Kraus, *Variational Integrators in Plasma Physics*, Ph.D. thesis, Technical University of Munich (2014).

[19] B. A. Shadwick, A. B. Stamm, and E. G. Evstatiev, Physics of Plasmas **21**, 055708 (2014).

[20] J. Xiao, J. Liu, H. Qin, and Z. Yu, Physics of Plasmas **20**, 102517 (2013).

[21] J. Xiao, H. Qin, J. Liu, Y. He, R. Zhang, and Y. Sun, Physics of Plasmas **22**, 112504 (2015).

[22] P. J. Morrison, Physics Letters A **80**, 383 (1980).

[23] J. E. Marsden and A. Weinstein, Physica **4D**, 394 (1982).

[24] H. Qin, J. Liu, J. Xiao, R. Zhang, Y. He, Y. Wang, Y. Sun, J. W. Burby, L. Ellison, and Y. Zhou, Nuclear Fusion **56**, 014001 (2016).

[25] Y. He, H. Qin, Y. Sun, J. Xiao, R. Zhang, and J. Liu, Physics of Plasmas **22**, 124503 (2015).

[26] J. C. Nedelec, Numerische Mathematik **35**, 315 (1980).

[27] D. N. Arnold and G. Awanou, Mathematics of computation **83**, 1551 (2014).

[28] R. I. McLachlan and G. R. W. Quispel, Acta Numer. **11**, 341 (2002).

[29] P. J. Olver, "Applications of lie groups to differential equations," (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993) pp. 242–283.

[30] P. J. Morrison, Physics of Plasmas **20**, 012104 (2013).